The Sulfidation of γ-Alumina and Titania Supported (Cobalt)Molybdenum Oxide Catalysts Monitored by EXAFS

R. G. Leliveld, A. J. van Dillen, J. W. Geus, and D. C. Koningsberger

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Debye Institute, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80083, 3508 TB Utrecht, The Netherlands

Received January 16, 1997; revised March 27, 1997; accepted May 30, 1997

INTRODUCTION

Supported transition metal catalysts like those of Co, Ni, and Mo are applied in the hydrotreating of oil since the late 1920s. Many studies deal with the preparation and structure of these catalysts and the mechanism of hydrodesulfurisation (HDS), as reviewed by several authors (1–14). The active phase of these catalysts is assumed to consist of small MoS2 platelets with Co or Ni promoter atoms decorating their edges. Originally proposed by Topsee and coworkers (7), this so-called “CoMoS” model was confirmed by Bouvens et al. (15–17) and Crajé et al. (18, 19) by EXAFS and Mössbauer experiments. Industrially, fresh oxidic catalysts are activated by addition of compounds like CS2 or methylsulfides to the feedstock (20). In most laboratory studies catalysts are sulfided by a mixture of H2S and H2. It is very likely that the conversion of the oxidic catalyst structure into the sulfided phase determines the final structure and performance of the catalyst. During the last 15 years several studies were carried out on the mechanism of sulfidation of molybdenum oxide catalysts and possible structures of intermediates.

Extensive temperature programmed sulfidation (TPS) studies of (Co promoted) molybdenum oxide on alumina were performed by Moolijn and coworkers (21–23). They concluded that sulfidation initially takes place via an O–S exchange reaction on MoVI. This exchange is followed by reduction of MoVI by means of S elimination from the formed MoO4S2 compounds. The formed elemental sulfur reacts with hydrogen to H2S in the temperature region from 430 to 600 K. Schrader and Cheng (24) performed a stepwise sulfidation study of MoO3/Al2O3 and identified with in situ laser Raman spectroscopy the presence of molybdenum oxysulfides and reduced molybdenum oxides during sulfidation. In another Raman study Payen et al. (25) also reported on oxysulfides as intermediates, but with MO reduced to MoV. Additionally, they proposed sulfido compounds and MoS3 as transient species. EXAFS studies of Parham and Merill (26) and Chiu et al. (27) on sulfidation of (Co)MoO3/Al2O3 reported on O–S exchange and subsequent formation and growth of MoS2 slabs between 573 and 673 K.

In a sulfidation study of MoO3/SiO2 de Boer et al. (28) found oxysulfides only to be present at low temperature. Characterisation with EXAFS of the catalyst sulfided at 423 K showed the presence of a molybdenum sulfide species, which structure resembled that of MoO3. The MoO3 particles are reduced with hydrogen to MoS2 accompanied by the evolution of H2S as observed by Scheffer et al. (21).

In a recent sulfidation study of uncalcined NiMoO4/SiO2 prepared with nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) as chelating agent M edici found not bulk MoO3 as intermediate, but small MoO3 like clusters with a structure probably analogous to the [MoO(3S2)6]2– complex (29). For a complete transition from these clusters into MoS2 the temperatures had to be raised above 583 K. XPS studies by Niemandsverdriet and coworkers on MoO3/SiO2/Sl(100) model catalysts showed the...
presence of bridging disulfide ligands during sulfidation and substantial amounts of MoV in an early stage of sulfidation (30, 31). These authors proposed the sulfidation mechanism to consist of an O–S exchange followed by a Mo–O redox process.

Despite all this work, there are still open questions. In a previous EXAFS study of sulfided molybdenum catalysts supported on alumina and titania we reported on the anchoring of molybdenum disulfide slabs to the support via Mo–O bonds (32). However, the results of that study were not sufficient to draw conclusions about the origin or formation of the molybdenum disulfide-support interaction during sulfidation. Additionally, for the industrially used alumina catalysts an overview of the detailed structure of the intermediates during all stages of sulfidation is still lacking. For these reasons, the aim of this study was to retrieve detailed information about the structure of the catalyst during all stages of sulfidation and about the influence of the support. Hence, molybdenum oxide catalysts supported on alumina and titania were stepwise sulfided at temperatures between room temperature and 673 K and characterised with EXAFS. Additionally, to study the influence of the promoter, catalysts containing both Co and Mo were prepared, sulfided at various temperatures and characterised. To provide a basis for the interpretation of the EXAFS data, TPS patterns of the different catalysts were also recorded. Finally, intermediate structures present during the sulfidation of molybdenum oxide catalysts are proposed based both on our results and on available literature data.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

Preparation of the Catalysts

A 15 wt% MoO3/γ-Al2O3 and a 4.4 wt% MoO3/TiO2 catalyst were prepared by incipient wetness impregnation of preshaped bodies (150–425 μm) of γ-Al2O3 (Ketjen CK-300, specific surface area 200 m2/g, pore volume 0.63 ml/g) and TiO2 (Degussa 7702, specific surface area 44 m2/g, pore volume 0.14 ml/g, 80% anatase), respectively, with an aqueous solution of (NH4)6Mo7O24·4H2O (Merck, p.a.) containing 25% ammonia. The surface coverage in both catalysts was 0.70 mg Mo/m2. CoMo/Al2O3 (3.8 wt% Co3O4, 14 wt% MoO3) and TiO2 (1.1 wt% Co3O4, 4.3 wt% MoO3) with an equal Co:Mo ratio were prepared by incipient wetness impregnation of γ-Al2O3 and TiO2 with an aqueous solution containing the required amounts of (NH4)6Mo7O24·4H2O and Co(NO3)2·6H2O. All catalysts were subsequently dried with an air flow for 4 h at 298 K, dried in static air at 383 K for 16 h and calcined in air at 723 K during 16 h.

Temperature Programmed Sulfidation

Temperature-programmed sulfidation profiles were recorded in an automated microflow apparatus; 1 ml of a mixture of H2S/H2 flow during 30 minutes (flow rate 50 ml/min) to remove physisorbed water. The catalyst was put on a quartz filter in a quartz lab reactor (8 mm Ø). On top of the catalyst 1 ml of quartz beads (1.5 mm Ø) was placed to ensure the gas mixture to reach oven temperature. Prior to reaction the reactor was flushed with Ar (flow rate 100 ml/min) to remove air, where after the catalyst was exposed to a 100 ml/min flow of the sulfiding mixture of H2S/H2/Ar (10/40/50). A fter 30 min at room temperature the reactor temperature was linearly raised (5 K/min) to 673 K. During sulfidation the H2S concentration of the sulfidation mixture before and after the reactor was monitored with a UV/VIS spectrophotometer (Varian, Quartz cuvets Hellma, 20 mm). The H2S absorption was detected at λ = 232 nm.

EXAFS Data Collection

The EXAFS measurements were performed at the EXAFS station 9.2 of the Wiggler beam line of the SRS at Daresbury (U.K.). The Si[220] double crystal monochromator was set to 50% intensity to minimise the presence of higher harmonics. The measurements were all carried out in transmission mode using optimised ion chambers as detectors. To minimise high and low frequency noise the counting time per data point varied from 1 s at k = 3 Å−1 to 3 s at k = 20 Å−1 and at least three scans were recorded and averaged. All spectra were recorded with the sample at liquid nitrogen temperature. The energy calibration of the monochromator was performed by means of a Mo-foil. The absolute value of the Mo-edge is 19,999 eV.

The catalyst samples were pressed into self-supporting wafers and mounted in an in situ EXAFS cell (33). The thickness of the wafer was chosen to give an absorbance (μx) of 2.5 for optimal signal to noise ratio. To prevent self-absorption for the high loaded Mo/Al2O3 and CoMo/Al2O3 samples the thickness of the wafer was chosen to obtain a step in absorbance of 1.0 in the edge region (Δμx = 1). The wafers were dried in situ at 393 K during 1 h in a He flow (flow rate 50 ml/min) to remove physisorbed water. The stepwise sulfidation of the catalysts was carried out in a 10% H2S/H2 flow during 30 min (flow rate 50 ml/min−1) at temperatures ranging from 298 to 673 K (5 K/min linear heating rate). A fter sulfidation the samples were cooled down in H2S/H2 to room temperature (10 K/min) and the cell was flushed for 15 min with He to remove H2S. The EXAFS spectrum was recorded after which the sample was allowed to reach room temperature again. Similarly the same sample was sulfided at a higher temperature in the given range and characterised with EXAFS.

EXAFS Data Analysis

Standard procedures were used to extract the EXAFS data from the measured absorption spectrum. The background was subtracted using cubic spline routines (34). Normalisation was done by dividing the data by the height of the
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EXAFS Data Analysis

Standard procedures were used to extract the EXAFS data from the measured absorption spectrum. The background was subtracted using cubic spline routines (34). Normalisation was done by dividing the data by the height of the
absorption edge at 50 eV. Phase shifts and backscattering amplitudes from reference compounds were used to calculate the EXAFS contributions: Na$_2$MoO$_4$ for the Mo–O, and MoS$_2$ for the Mo–S and Mo–Mo contributions. Details of the references are described elsewhere (35). Phase shifts and backscattering amplitudes of the Mo–Al and Mo–Ti contributions were theoretically calculated with the FEFF-3.1 code (36).

Multiple shell fitting of the EXAFS data was done in $R$-space. The difference file technique was applied, together with phase-corrected Fourier transforms to resolve the different contributions (37). Coordination numbers were corrected for the difference in absorber-backscatterer distance between the reference compound and the unknown assuming an electron mean free path of 5 Å (38).

The reliability of the calculated fit is usually expressed as the goodness of fit $\varepsilon^2_\nu$ which includes the statistical noise on the data (39). However, it proved that the random noise of the measured data is in some cases so low that $\varepsilon^2_\nu \gg 1$. In that case the noise is dominated by systematic errors from the measurement and data analysis. To correct the standard deviations of the structural parameters for the systematic errors, $\varepsilon^2_\nu$ should be corrected as described by Stern et al. (40). Alternatively, we have chosen to express the quality of fit as the difference between the absolute or imaginary part of the Fourier transform of the experimental data with that of the model Fourier transform. These differences are described by the FT$^n$ variance:

$$\text{FT}^n = 100 \frac{\int (\text{FT}_{\text{model}}^n(R) - \text{FT}_{\text{expt}}^n(R))^2}{\int (\text{FT}_{\text{expt}}^n(R))^2} \ [1]$$

in which $n$ represents the weight of the Fourier transform. The errors in the resulting fit parameters are estimated to be 20% in coordination number $N$, 1% in distance $R$, 10% in Debye–Waller factor $\Delta \sigma^2$ and 10% in $\Delta E_0$.

**RESULTS**

Temperature Programmed Sulfidation

Figure 1 represents the normalised H$_2$S absorption per Mo (no corrections were made for Co) of the various catalysts versus the sulfidation temperature. Initially, just above room temperature with all catalysts a positive peak due to desorption of physisorbed H$_2$S was observed. At higher temperatures the catalysts started to consume H$_2$S, followed by a H$_2$S evolution peak at around 423–493 K in case of the alumina catalysts. Between 493 and 673 K the alumina catalysts showed a further uptake of H$_2$S. In contrast, the titania samples showed no H$_2$S production peak, but continuous absorption of H$_2$S in the range 293–673 K. Surprisingly, the normalised H$_2$S consumption per Mo atom is higher for the Mo catalysts in comparison with the Co promoted catalysts. Since the experimental setup was not suited for quantitative analysis no further attempts were made to study this observation. Moreover, the main purpose of the TPS experiments was to provide a basis for the interpretation of the EXAFS data in terms of the consumption and production of H$_2$S by the catalysts as a function of the sulfidation temperature.

Accordingly, the TPS pattern can roughly be divided in four temperature ranges as indicated in Fig. 1:

- **Stage I**: sulfidation at 298 K (not shown)
- **Stage II**: 298–448 K, uptake of H$_2$S
- **Stage III**: 448–523 K, release of H$_2$S (for alumina catalysts)
- **Stage IV**: 523–673 K, (renewed) consumption of H$_2$S.

On basis of these temperature ranges we decided to characterise the catalysts with EXAFS after sulfidation at 298 K, at every 25 K in the region 363–523 K and after sulfidation at 673 K.

In order to justify our approach of stepwise sulfidation and characterisation with EXAFS a modified sulfidation experiment was carried out. In this experiment the temperature was increased with steps of 25 K (5 K/min) and held...
constant at every temperature for 30 min. It was observed that the UV-absorption dropped to the level of no H$_2$S consumption or production within a minute after stabilisation of the temperature. When the temperature was further raised the UV-absorption quickly returned to its former value before stabilising. These results indicated that the extent of sulfidation was solely determined by the temperature, which permitted a study of stepwise sulfidation and characterisation.

Near-Edge Region

The shape and position of the Mo-K-absorption edge contains information about the valency and local symmetry of the Mo atom. Figure 2 presents the near-edge spectra of Mo/Al$_2$O$_3$ and (Co)Mo/TiO$_2$ at different temperatures of sulfidation. The near-edge spectra of CoMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ were identical to those of Mo/Al$_2$O$_3$ and are therefore not shown. The spectra of both alumina and titania catalysts sulfided at room temperature contained a preedge peak that disappeared at higher temperatures. This preedge peak can be attributed to a 1s-4d bound state transition characteristic for Mo atoms in an oxidic structure. The transition probability of this formally forbidden excitation is dependent on the local symmetry around the molybdenum atom. Mixing of metal d-states with ligand p-orbitals in case of a tetrahedral or nonperfect octahedral symmetry, as encountered in molybdenum oxides, gives rise to the observed preedge feature (41, 42). The absence of this preedge peak at higher sulfidation temperatures indicated transformation of the oxidic lattice into another (sulfidic) lattice.

Additionally, the position of the absorption edge shifted to lower energy values at higher temperatures. The edge-position of the samples sulfided at room temperature agreed with an oxidation state of MoVI (MoO$_3$). At 673 K the edge was shifted to a lower value corresponding to the lower Mo$^{IV}$ oxidation state (MoS$_2$). As Figs. 2A, B show for Mo/Al$_2$O$_3$ and Mo/TiO$_2$ the Mo$^{IV}$ state was already reached at a temperature of 448 and 423 K, respectively. In contrast, CoMo/TiO$_2$ (Fig. 2C) had to be sulfided at least 498 K to completely reach a Mo$^{IV}$ oxidation state. At intermediate temperatures the edge position for all samples was situated between that of Mo$^{VI}$ and Mo$^{IV}$ pointing to a mixture of Mo$^{VI}$ and Mo$^{IV}$ or possibly to the presence of Mo$^{V}$.

EXAFS of (Co)Mo/Al$_2$O$_3$

Eventually, after data analysis the main EXAFS results could be summarised by considering only four temperatures corresponding to or near the temperature limits of each sulfidation stage in the TPS pattern, namely 298, 423, 523, and 673 K. Figure 3 displays the experimental EXAFS data of Mo/Al$_2$O$_3$ sulfided at these four temperatures. As with the near-edge spectra the EXAFS data of CoMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ were identical to those of unpromoted Mo/Al$_2$O$_3$. As shown in Figs. 3A–D the data quality of the raw EXAFS data was good. The noise level was low, as expressed by a standard deviation below 0.0005 (three scans average). Based on the noise level the Fourier transform range for data analysis was chosen from 3.6 to 14.0 Å$^{-1}$. Figure 4 shows the Fourier transforms of Mo/Al$_2$O$_3$ sulfided at various temperatures together with their best fits. The fits closely matched the experimental data in the fit region between 1.0 and 3.5 Å. Below 1.0 Å the fit deviated from the measured data due to a background feature, that was difficult to subtract from the raw data.
Stage I. The Fourier transform of Mo/Al₂O₃ sulfided at room temperature (Fig. 4A) mainly contained one broad peak at low R-values characteristic of Mo–O contributions (32). Comparison of the Fourier transform of the fresh oxidic catalyst and the catalyst exposed to H₂S showed no significant changes (Fig. 4A). In accordance with this, incorporation of a Mo–S contribution was not necessary to obtain a reasonable fit, confirming that at 298 K no O–S exchange had taken place. The first shell of the Fourier transform was fit by two Mo–O contributions with bond distances of 1.76 and 1.92 Å (see Table 1). Additionally, data analysis revealed the presence of Mo–Al and Mo–Mo contributions at 2.76 and 3.28 Å, respectively. A detailed description of the structure of the oxidic catalysts was already given elsewhere (32).

Stage II. Upon treatment at 363 K (Fig. 4B) the Mo/Al₂O₃ samples started to sulfide, as was indicated by a strong reduction of the amplitude of the Fourier transform around 1.3 Å and a relative increase of the amplitude of the (Mo–S) peak at around 2.0 Å. At 423 K (Fig. 4C) the amplitude around 1.3 Å reached a minimum value. This drastic decrease of the amplitude could be ascribed to destructive interference between Mo–O and Mo–O contributions (due to their phase difference of approximately π radians (43)) and to the very high Debye–Waller factor of the Mo–S contribution. Data analysis of the spectra obtained after sulfidation at 423 K showed a Mo–O coordination number of 4.3, together with a Mo–O coordination of 0.4 at 1.98 Å and a Mo–Mo coordination of 0.2 (see Table 1). The short Mo–O bond length changed from 3.28 Å for the oxidic catalyst to 2.75 Å for the sulfided sample.

Stage III. Sulfidation at temperatures higher than 423 K led to a further increase of amplitude of the Mo–S peak at around 2.0 Å (Figs. 4D–F). This was mainly due to a larger coordination number of 4.3 (Table 1). The Mo–O contributions were reduced to 0.2 and 0.4 at 1.98 Å, while the Mo–Mo bond distance increased to 2.75 Å. The Mo–Al and Mo–Mo contributions remained constant, with bond distances of 2.76 and 3.28 Å, respectively.

Stage IV. Sulfidation at 673 K resulted in a further increase of amplitude of the Mo–S peak, reaching a coordination number of 5.0 (Table 1). The Mo–O contributions were reduced to 0.4 at 1.98 Å, while the Mo–Mo bond distance increased to 3.15 Å. The Mo–Al and Mo–Mo contributions remained constant, with bond distances of 2.76 and 3.28 Å, respectively.
Fourier transform of MoO/Al2O3 (---) and best fit (-- --) sulfided at (A) 298 K; oxidic catalyst (-----) (B) 363 K; (C) 423 K; (D) 448 K; (E) 473 K; (F) 498 K; (G) 523 K; (H) 673 K.
lowering of the Debye–Waller factor of the Mo–S contribution, indicating that at these temperatures a less disordered structure was formed. Simultaneously, the amplitude of the peak at around 2.6 Å increased (compare Fig. 4G with 4D) due to an increasing Mo–M o contribution. Data analysis of Mo/A 2O 3 sulfided at 523 K (Fig. 4G) showed besides the Mo–M o contribution at 2.77 Å a second Mo–M o contribution with a bond distance of 3.16 Å, characteristic of MoS 2. The Mo–S coordination at this temperature had dropped to a value of 3.7. The Mo–O contribution was slightly increased from a value of 0.4 at 423 K to 0.8 at 523 K.

Stage IV. At temperatures higher than 523 K the Mo–M o contribution at 2.77 Å decreased, while the 3.16 Å contribution further increased. The Fourier transforms of the alumina samples sulfided at 573 and 673 K (Fig. 4H) were characteristic of MoS 2. The Mo–S coordination had increased to a value of 5.0, while the Mo–O contribution stayed present at 2.0 Å with a coordination number of 1.0.

The values of the coordination numbers of the Mo–O, Mo–S, and Mo–M o contributions as a function of the sulfidation temperature are presented in Fig. 5A. It is clear that both the Mo–S and Mo–M o contribution at 2.77 Å went through a maximum value, while the Mo–O contribution had a minimum value. Additionally, the 3.16 Å Mo–M o contribution steadily increased, starting at 523 K.

**EXAFS of Mo/TiO 2**

Figure 6 displays the experimental EXAFS data and Fourier transforms of Mo/TiO 2 sulfided at room temperature, 423, 523, and 673 K. The results of the data analysis are given in Table 2 and Fig. 5B. As can be seen from Fig. 5B, the general outcome of the EXAFS analyses in terms of coordination numbers was comparable to that of Mo/A 2O 3. However, also some significant differences were found.

Stage I. The Fourier transform of Mo/TiO 2 sulfided at room temperature (Fig. 6E) was completely different from that of the fresh oxidic catalyst. An extra node was present at 1.1 Å and the maximum of the first shell was shifted to higher R-values. This different shape of the Fourier transform was due a Mo–S contribution at 2.40 Å, indicating the sulfidation of Mo/TiO 2 to start at room temperature.

Stage II. For Mo/TiO 2 sulfided at 423 K (Fig. 6F) an additional peak in the Fourier transform developed at around 3.8 Å. The analysis of this peak proved to be very difficult as will now be explained.

Figure 7A presents the Fourier transform of the raw EXAFS data minus the calculated Mo–O and Mo–S shell phase corrected for the Mo–M o absorber–backscatterer pair. The optimum at 3.87 Å of the imaginary part of the Fourier transform implies that this contribution can be assigned to a Mo backscatterer. Alternatively, Fig. 7B shows the same Fourier transform, but now phase corrected for the Mo–Ti absorber–backscatterer pair. A coording to the optima in the imaginary part the contribution between 3.5–4.5 Å can be assigned to two Mo–Ti contributions at 3.8 and 4.1 Å. However, this did not result in a converging fit.

Similarly, the peak at around 3 Å could only be fit with a Mo–Ti shell at 3.01 Å (see Fig. 7B). The assigning this
FIG. 6. EXAFS spectra (unweighted) and Fourier transforms \([k^2, \Delta k = 3.6 - 14.0]\) of Mo/TiO\(_2\) sulfided at (A/E) 298 K; oxidic catalyst (---); (B/F) 423 K; (C/F) 523 K; (D/H) 673 K.
TABLE 2

Fit Parameters Mo/Titania Supported Catalyst

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scatterer</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>$\Delta \sigma^2$ ($10^{-4}$ Å$^2$)</th>
<th>R (Å)</th>
<th>$\Delta E_0$ (eV)</th>
<th>Var. im.</th>
<th>Var. ab.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage I. Sulfided 298 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>−2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>300.0</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>−5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stage II. Sulfided 423 K

| O         | 1.6 | 2.14                             | 79.9  | 1.95             | 0.9     | 0.90    |
| S         | 6.1 |                                   | 235.8 | 2.40             | −1.1    |         |
| Ti        | 0.3 |                                   | −23.4 | 2.98             | 1.9     |         |
| Mo        | 0.6 |                                   | −28.2 | 3.87             | −1.4    |         |

Stage III. Sulfided 523 K

| O         | 2.8 | 2.15                             | 100.2 | 2.02             | −0.7    | 1.22    |
| S         | 2.6 |                                   | 74.6  | 2.37             | 5.5     |         |
| Ti        | 0.4 |                                   | −23.5 | 3.06             | −12.1   |         |

Stage IV. Sulfided 673 K

| O         | 1.7 | 0.45                             | 96.9  | 2.00             | 2.5     | 0.23    |
| S         | 4.3 |                                   | 63.5  | 2.39             | 5.9     |         |
| Mo        | 0.2 |                                   | 32.6  | 2.81             | 3.0     |         |
| Mo        | 1.0 |                                   | 32.6  | 3.15             | 3.0     |         |

Alternative fit second shell

| Ti        | 0.1 | 0.34                             | −53.4 | 2.97             | −1.4    |         |
| Mo        | 0.5 |                                   | −14.3 | 3.15             | 6.5     |         |

$^a$, $^b$ Variance imaginary and absolute parts Fourier transform according to Eq. [1].

The fit parameters indicate that the Mo–Mo contribution to a Mo backscatterer had to include two Mo–Mo contributions at 2.8 and 3.2 Å, which did not lead to a converging fit. The signal between 2.0 and 2.5 Å is due to an incomplete subtraction of the Mo–S contribution and was resolved after a full optimisation of all shells.

However, the high negative values for the Debye-Waller factors of the Mo–Mo and Mo–Ti contributions make the analysis results highly questionable. Moreover, regarding the results obtained with Mo/Al$_2$O$_3$ the assignment of the lower peak to a Mo–Mo contribution at around 2.8 Å and the higher peak to a Mo–Ti contribution at around 3.9 Å seems more likely. Probably, the use of a theoretical Mo–Ti reference, which assumes a Mo$^{VI}$–Ti$^{IV}$ pair instead of a Mo$^{V}$–Ti$^{IV}$ pair led to current outcome of the analysis. In view of the above considerations the analysis results of the second shell should be looked upon with care as indicated in Table 2.

Stage III. For Mo/TiO$_2$ no Mo–Mo contribution was present anymore at 523 K (Fig. 6G). Only the Mo–Ti contribution at 3.06 Å was found next to the usual Mo–O and Mo–S contributions.

Stage IV. The Fourier transform of the sample sulfided at 673 K (Fig. 6H) was characteristic of MoS$_2$. The second shell could be fit with a combination of Mo–Mo at 3.16 Å and Mo–Ti at 2.97 Å. However, in this case the second shell could equally be fit with two Mo–Mo contributions at 2.81 and 3.15 Å (see Table 2). The latter analysis is more realistic, since in the alternative analysis the Debye-Waller factors of the Mo–Ti and Mo–Mo contributions are again negative questioning their credibility.

EXAFS of CoMo/TiO$_2$

Figure 8 displays the raw EXAFS data and Fourier transforms of CoMo/TiO$_2$ sulfided at different temperatures. The results of the data analysis are given in Table 3 and Fig. 5C.

Stage I. CoMo/TiO$_2$ sulfided at room temperature (Fig. 8E) showed a Fourier transform that was similar to that of the fresh oxidic catalyst, although the amplitude of the contribution to a Mo backscatterer had to include two Mo–Mo contributions at 2.8 and 3.2 Å, which did not lead to a converging fit. The signal between 2.0 and 2.5 Å is due to an incomplete subtraction of the Mo–S contribution and was resolved after a full optimisation of all shells.

However, the high negative values for the Debye-Waller factors of the Mo–Mo and Mo–Ti contributions make the analysis results highly questionable. Moreover, regarding the results obtained with Mo/Al$_2$O$_3$ the assignment of the lower peak to a Mo–Mo contribution at around 2.8 Å and the higher peak to a Mo–Ti contribution at around 3.9 Å seems more likely. Probably, the use of a theoretical Mo–Ti reference, which assumes a Mo$^{0}$–Ti$^{0}$ pair instead of a Mo$^{VI}$–Ti$^{IV}$ pair led to current outcome of the analysis. In view of the above considerations the analysis results of the second shell should be looked upon with care as indicated in Table 2.

Stage III. For CoMo/TiO$_2$ no Mo–Mo contribution was present anymore at 523 K (Fig. 6G). Only the Mo–Ti contribution at 3.06 Å was found next to the usual Mo–O and Mo–S contributions.

Stage IV. The Fourier transform of the sample sulfided at 673 K (Fig. 6H) was characteristic of MoS$_2$. The second shell could be fit with a combination of Mo–Mo at 3.16 Å and Mo–Ti at 2.97 Å. However, in this case the second shell could equally be fit with two Mo–Mo contributions at 2.81 and 3.15 Å (see Table 2). The latter analysis is more realistic, since in the alternative analysis the Debye-Waller factors of the Mo–Ti and Mo–Mo contributions are again negative questioning their credibility.

**FIG. 7.** Difference files for Mo/TiO$_2$ sulfided at 423 K (A) Mo–Mo contribution: Fourier transform ($k^3$, $\Delta k = 3.6–14.0$, Mo–Mo phase corrected) of EXAFS spectrum minus calculated Mo–S and Mo–O contributions (———) and fit with Mo–Mo contribution (— — —) (B) Mo–Ti contribution: Fourier transform ($k^3$, $\Delta k = 3.6–14.0$, Mo–Ti phase corrected) of EXAFS spectrum minus calculated Mo–S and Mo–O contributions (———) and fit with Mo–Ti contribution (— — —).
FIG. 8. EXAFS spectra (unweighted) and Fourier transforms ($k^2$, $\Delta k = 3.6 - 14.0$) of CoMo/TiO$_2$ sulfided at (A/E) 298 K; oxidic catalyst (---); (B/F) 423 K; (C/F) 523 K; (D/H) 673 K.
SULFIDATION OF (COBALT) MOLYBDENUM CATALYSTS

TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scatterer</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>$\Delta \sigma^2$ (10^{-4} \AA^2)</th>
<th>R (\AA)</th>
<th>$\Delta E_0$ (eV)</th>
<th>Var. im.</th>
<th>Var. ab.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage I. Sulfided 298 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>123.9</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>100.4</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>-9.3</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage II. Sulfided 423 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>157.4</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage III. Sulfided 523 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>159.4</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV. Sulfided 673 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$, $^b$ Variance imaginary and absolute parts Fourier transform according to Eq. [1].

the first shell was much lower. However, no Mo–S contribution could be incorporated, indicating that sulfidation had not taken place at room temperature. The change in the Mo–O coordination upon sulfidation compared to the fresh oxidic catalyst is therefore hard to explain. The only difference is that the oxidic catalyst was dried at 393 K in He flow before characterisation (32), whereas the sulfided one in this study was not. The removal of physisorbed water apparently influences the structure of the molybdenum oxide entities on the titania surface.

Stages II–IV. As displayed in Fig. 5C and Figs. 8G–H the trends in the coordination numbers of the various EXAFS contributions for CoMo/TiO$_2$ were different than observed with the other samples. The maximum in the Mo–S coordination number was shifted from 398 K for Mo/TiO$_2$ to 523 K for the promoted catalyst. Also, the Mo–O contribution completely disappeared already at 398 K. Similar to Mo/OA$_2$I$_2$O$_3$ a Mo–Mo contribution was observed at around 2.8 Å that reached a maximum value at 548 K. At 673 K only a Mo–Mo contribution at 3.16 Å was present.

DISCUSSION

The most important results can be summarised as follows:

1. The structural parameters of the involved intermediate Mo species have been obtained as a function of the sulfidation temperature (see Fig. 5).
2. All intermediate species during sulfidation contain Mo–O bonds with a bond length of 2.0 Å that can be ascribed to Mo–O linkages with the support as was already observed in catalysts sulfided at 673 K (32).
3. For Mo/OA$_2$I$_2$O$_3$, CoMo/OA$_2$I$_2$O$_3$, and CoMo/TiO$_2$ intermediate species are observed during sulfidation with a Mo–Mo bond length of 2.8 Å.
4. For Mo/OA$_2$I$_2$O$_3$, CoMo/OA$_2$I$_2$O$_3$, and Mo/TiO$_2$ all Mo atoms are in a $+IV$ valency state at sulfidation temperatures of 448 and 423 K, respectively. In CoMo/TiO$_2$ all Mo atoms are in a $+IV$ valency state after sulfidation at temperature higher than 498 K.
5. For Mo/OA$_2$I$_2$O$_3$, CoMo/OA$_2$I$_2$O$_3$, and CoMo/TiO$_2$ sulfidation only starts at temperatures above room temperature. Sulfidation of Mo/TiO$_2$ already takes place at room temperature.

In the following discussion these results will be combined with available literature data to develop a model for the sulfidation of molybdenum oxide catalysts.

(Co)Mo/OA$_2$I$_2$O$_3$

Stage I (298 K). The EXAFS data obtained at room temperature show that the catalyst was still completely oxidic. To enable O–S exchange the temperature had to be raised above room temperature in agreement with the observed consumption of H$_2$S in the TPS pattern. The structure of the molybdenum oxide species can be visualised as depicted in Fig. 9 (structures $A$ and $A'$). The low value of 0.4 for the Mo–O coordination number suggests that the main part of the Mo is present as a mononuclear species. These findings agree with the presence of predominantly MoO$_2$$^-$$^-$ species in the basic impregnation solution (44), which leads to a monomolybdate surface species (45).

Stage II (298–448 K). The first sulfidation stage of the (Co)Mo/OA$_2$I$_2$O$_3$ catalysts has been described by several
authors (21–31) as an O–S exchange on molybdenum:

$$\text{Mo}^{\text{VI}}\text{O}_y + x\text{H}_2\text{S} \rightarrow \text{Mo}^{\text{IV}}\text{O}_{y-x}\text{S}_x + x\text{H}_2\text{O}. \quad [2]$$

Weber et al. (46) proposed on the basis of XPS and infrared emission spectroscopy experiments that terminal Mo==O groups are more reactive towards sulfur exchange than bridging Mo–O–Mo groups. Our EXAFS data indeed show an exchange of oxygen for sulfur. Upon sulfidation above room temperature the Mo–O coordination increases, while simultaneously the Mo–O coordination drops. The exchange can be depicted as shown in Fig. 9 (structures B and B’). Since initially the Mo–Mo distance of 3.28 Å present in the oxidic catalyst increases to 3.36 Å at 363 K it is proposed that the exchange of terminal Mo==O groups for sulfur is followed by sulfidation of (part of) the bridging Mo–O–Mo linkages. As a result dimers are formed with possible structure as structure C in Fig. 9. Monomers might react to a species like structure C’.

Weber et al. (46) reported that after exchange of oxygen atoms for sulfur two terminal Mo==S groups on neighbouring Mo atoms can react under formation of a bridged S\(_2^{2-}\) ligand and simultaneous reduction of Mo\(^{\text{VI}}\) to Mo\(^{\text{IV}}\). In addition Muijser et al. (31) proposed that two terminal Mo==S groups on the same Mo atom can be converted to a Mo\(^{\text{IV}}\)==S\(_2\) group. Both reactions can be represented according to:

$$\text{Mo}^{\text{VI}} + xe^- \rightarrow \text{Mo}^{(\text{IV}-x)} \quad [3a]$$

$$2\text{S}^{2-} \rightarrow \text{S}_2^{2-} + 2e^- \quad [3b]$$

In our experiments the changing position of the Mo–K edge indicates that the O–S exchange is followed by a reduction to Mo\(^{\text{IV}}\)/Mo\(^{\text{IV}}\). At 448 K all Mo atoms are in the +IV valency state. This would suggest that a reduction to Mo\(^{\text{IV}}\) under formation of bridged S\(_2^{2-}\) ligands is rare, although the Mo–Mo distance of 2.75 Å can be associated with molybdenum sulfide clusters with bridging S\(_2^{2-}\) ligands (47). However, on basis of the observed edge position it is proposed that mainly terminal S\(_2^{2-}\) ligands are formed with simultaneous reduction of the Mo\(^{\text{VI}}\) ions to Mo\(^{\text{IV}}\). As depicted in Fig. 9 structure D and D’ then represent the type of species than are formed after sulfidation at 423/448 K. The Mo–Mo distance in the dimer is found to be 2.75 Å.

The depicted structures in Fig. 9 are not in full agreement with the structural parameters in Table 1. The Mo–S coordination is expected to be 3 instead of 4 and the Mo–O coordination should be 1. This discrepancy is described to the complexity of the Mo species that makes it difficult to obtain a good fit of the experimental EXAFS data in this temperature region. Next to destructive interference between the Mo–O and Mo–S contribution the structural disorder is very high as indicated by the high Debye–Wallor factors. This does not make it impossible to obtain reasonable coordination parameters but the accuracy will be less than for more ordered structures. Accordingly, due to the overlap of the Mo–O and Mo–S shells the high disorder in the Mo–S contribution forces the calculated Mo–O coordination number to be lower. For this reason we believe that the Mo–O coordination gradually decreases from 373 to 498 K as would be expected instead of going through a minimum value as shown in Fig. 5A.

In an earlier study on Mo/SiO\(_2\) catalysts the presence of a MoS\(_3\) as an intermediate during sulfidation was assumed to be present (28). Although the structure of MoS\(_3\) is still a matter of debate and is described both as a linear chain (48, 49) and as an aggregation of triangular Mo\(_2\)-sulfur clusters (50) both models invoke a Mo–Mo distance of about 2.77 Å and the presence of bridging disulfide ligands. The low value of 0.2 for the Mo–O coordination number suggests that at 423 K the majority of the Mo atoms is present as a mononuclear species (e.g., species D’ in Fig. 9), which makes the presence of MoS\(_3\) or a MoS\(_3\) like species as intermediate highly unlikely.

Stage III (448–523 K). The next stage in the sulfidation mechanism has to account for both the observed H\(_2\)S release in the TPS and the decrease of the Mo–S coordination number as determined with EXAFS.

Muijser et al. (31) reported that in complexes containing both terminal and bridging S\(_2^{2-}\) ligands the terminal S\(_2^{2-}\) groups can undergo reductive elimination to elemental sulfur, while simultaneously the bridging S\(_2^{2-}\) groups are reduced to S\(_2^{2-}\) ligands. Weber et al. (51) suggested that in the sulfidation of MoO\(_3\) a bridging S\(_2^{2-}\) group can be reduced by hydrogen to a terminal S\(_2^{2-}\) ligand and H\(_2\)S. However, since under the conditions of high temperature and the presence of H\(_2\) terminal S\(_2^{2-}\) ligands are not stable, their formation here is not very likely.

We propose that in this stage a kind of reductive elimination of the terminal S\(_2^{2-}\) groups takes place followed by reaction with H\(_2\) leading to formation of reduced Mo centers and H\(_2\)S. Transformation of D (D’ into E in Fig. 9). Although only the genesis of bridging S\(_2^{2-}\) ligands is depicted the formation of bridged S\(_2^{2-}\) groups (and Mo\(^{\text{IV}}\) centers) might also take place. The occurrence of these types of reactions has been described by Diemann et al. (51). The creation of Mo\(^{\text{IV}}\) sites through reductive elimination of sulfur from corner and edge sites in MoS\(_2\) was reported by Müller et al. (52) in their study on the adsorption of carbon monoxide on reduced MoS\(_2\)/alumina catalysts. The value of 1.0 for the Mo–Mo contribution at 2.78 Å suggests that the mononuclear Mo species have formed dimers or even larger aggregates. The appearance of a Mo–Mo contribution at 3.16 Å, characteristic of MoS\(_3\), indicates that also larger particles are formed. The remaining presence of the Mo–O contribution indicates that the Mo species are still stabilised by the support, which prevents the sintering of the coordinatively unsaturated Mo species.
It is likely that on cooling to room temperature under \( \text{H}_2\text{S}/\text{H}_2 \) chemisorption of \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) takes place on the coordinatively unsaturated \( \text{M}_0 \) atoms explaining the higher \( \text{M}_0-\text{S} \) coordination number found with EXAFS. Since the position of the preedge still corresponds to a \( \text{M}_0^{\text{IV}} \) oxidation state also oxidation of the reduced \( \text{M}_0 \) centers has to take place during cooling down, likely accompanied by formation of \( \text{S}_2^{2-} \) ligands.

Stage IV (523–673 K). After the release of sulfur the TPS pattern displays a further uptake of \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \). At the same time, according to the EXAFS analysis, the \( \text{M}_0-\text{M}_0 \) contribution at 2.77 Å quickly decreases, while the \( \text{M}_0-\text{M}_0 \) contribution at 3.16 Å, characteristic of \( \text{M}_0\text{S}_2 \), further increases. Clearly, the \( \text{M}_0 \)-dimers sinter to larger clusters with the \( \text{M}_0\text{S}_2 \) structure (structure F) as is shown in Fig. 9. Since the \( \text{M}_0-\text{O} \) coordination remains nearly constant at a value of about 1 the \( \text{M}_0 \) atoms clearly stay in close contact with the support. In fact one would expect a decrease of the \( \text{M}_0-\text{O} \) contribution, since sintering occurs through sulfidation of \( \text{M}_0-\text{O}-\text{A} \) linkages. Likely, the changes of the \( \text{M}_0-\text{O} \) coordination number occur within the limits of accuracy, which are influenced by the high degree of structural disorder and the interference between the \( \text{M}_0-\text{O} \) and \( \text{M}_0-\text{S} \) contribution as mentioned above.

**M \( \text{O}/\text{TiO}_2 \)**

The sulfidation of titania-supported \( \text{M}_0 \) samples proceeds differently than those of alumina. Figure 5B shows that the sulfidation of \( \text{M}_0/\text{TiO}_2 \) already starts at room temperature. This can be explained by the presence of larger molybdenum oxide particles on titania compared to alumina (\( \text{N}_{\text{M}_0-\text{M}_0} \) of 2.5 and 0.4, respectively), which are more susceptible to sulfidation. When the temperature is raised the \( \text{M}_0\text{O}_x\text{S}_y \) particles disintegrate indicated by the decrease of the \( \text{M}_0-\text{O} \) coordination number from a value of 2.5 at 298 K to 0.6 at 423 K. Simultaneously, the exchange of lattice oxygen for sulfur causes the \( \text{M}_0-\text{M}_0 \) distance to increase from 3.55 to 3.87 Å. Finally, at 523 K no \( \text{M}_0 \) backscatterer contribution is present in the Fourier transform, pointing to the formation of mononuclear or highly distorted \( \text{M}_0\text{O}_x\text{S}_y \) species. The increase of the \( \text{M}_0-\text{Ti} \) contribution is in accordance with such a disintegration of \( \text{M}_0\text{O}_x\text{S}_y \) particles based on the EXAFS data (despite the drawbacks concerning the credibility of the \( \text{M}_0-\text{Ti} \) contribution as explained in the results section) the structure of the \( \text{M}_0\text{O}_x\text{S}_y \) clusters at 523 K can be described as mononuclear \( \text{M}_0 \) species linked to two support oxygens and two terminal sulfur atoms.

Similar to alumina the \( \text{M}_0-\text{S} \) coordination number goes through a maximum value with increasing temperature. It is assumed that between 398 and 523 K an identical reduction of \( \text{S}_2^{2-} \) ligands takes place. However, the absence of the expected \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) release peak in the TPS profile, as observed for alumina, seems in contradiction with this assumption. However, this might well be ascribed to the nature of the titania support. Huisman (53) showed that surface oxygen ions of titania can be exchanged by sulfur to a considerable degree. Thus the sulfur liberated from the \( \text{M}_0\text{O}_x\text{S}_y \) clusters reacts with the support according to:

\[
\text{TiO}_2 + x\text{H}_2\text{S} \rightarrow \text{TiO}_{2-x}\text{S}_x + x\text{H}_2\text{O}.
\]

When the temperature is raised from 523 to 673 K the molybdenum sulfide monomers aggregate to \( \text{M}_0\text{S}_2 \), as can be seen from the \( \text{M}_0-\text{M}_0 \) contribution at 3.16 Å. In accordance, the \( \text{M}_0-\text{Ti} \) contribution drops to a lower value. It was already pointed out that at 673 K this \( \text{M}_0-\text{Ti} \) contribution can be equally well fit with a \( \text{M}_0-\text{M}_0 \) contribution at 2.80 Å. This distance is close to the \( \text{M}_0-\text{M}_0 \) distance of 2.77 Å found for the dimer species in alumina.

**Influence of the Addition of Co**

The addition of Co to \( \text{M}_0/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) catalyst causes no observable change in the EXAFS data. However, the TPS profiles (see Fig. 1) are clearly different. The shift of the \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) release peak to lower temperatures on addition of Co agree with the results of Moulijn and coworkers (54). These authors concluded that Co accelerates the sulfiding of \( \text{M}_0 \), which is, however, not observed in the EXAFS data. This discrepancy is hard to explain and might have to do with the different character of a TPS and EXAFS experiment. TPS experiments are dynamic experiments in which the temperature is continuously raised, while in EXAFS experiments the system is allowed to equilibrate at definite temperatures of sulfidation. If Co accelerates the rate of sulfidation, but not the extent of sulfidation, this is not observed with EXAFS. Furthermore, the \( \text{H}_2\text{S}/\text{H}_2 \) ratio used in the EXAFS experiments is different from the TPS experiments. Alternatively, one might argue that the sulfiding of cobalt oxide species is superimposed on the TPS pattern of the molybdenum oxide species, causing a shift of the maximum of the \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) release peak to lower temperatures.

Yet, it is difficult to study the effect of the presence of a promoter on the rate of sulfiding as Co also influences the initial dispersion of the molybdenum oxide species. It was shown that on alumina the addition of Co (both coimpregnation and sequential impregnation) leads to an increase of the particle size of the molybdenum oxide species (32). It is expected that larger particles are more liable to sulfidation offering another explanation for the observed shift in the TPS pattern.

In contrast, the addition of Co to \( \text{M}_0/\text{TiO}_2 \) significantly lowers the sulfidation rate of \( \text{M}_0 \) as is clear from Fig. 5C and the TPS profile (Fig. 1). The lower sulfidation rate might be related to smaller molybdenum oxide particles in the promoted catalyst. Smaller particles are better stabilised by the titania surface and less susceptible to sulfidation. However, the particle size for both samples is not very different (\( \text{N}_{\text{M}_0-\text{M}_0} \) of 2.5 versus 1.8) and also the rapid decline of the
M o–O contribution at 398 K for the promoted sample does not support this explanation. A possible explanation is formation of CoMoO$_4$ on titania. From the former discussion for Mo/TiO$_2$ it became clear that the interaction between molybdenum oxide or its precursor and the titania surface is rather poor. This will favour the interaction of Mo with Co leading to CoMoO$_4$. CoMoO$_4$ is indeed known to sulfide at higher temperatures than MoO$_3$ (55), in agreement with our results. The very high values for the Mo–O coordination number (up to 9 at 523 K) and the absence of the Mo–O contribution are due to high structural disorder as discussed above for Mo/Al$_2$O$_3$.

Regarding the structure of the catalyst at 523 K the presence of a Mo backscatter at 2.73 Å in CoMoO$_4$/TiO$_2$ points to a dimer structure with bridging disulfide ligands like structure (B) in Fig. 9. Sulfidation at 673 K changes this structure directly into MoS$_2$ by reduction of the disulfide ligands. The absence of a H$_2$S release peak is due to sulfidation of the titania surface as for Mo/TiO$_2$.

In conclusion a combination of initial dispersion of molybdenum oxide particles, predominantly controlled by the kind of support and the precursor, and the “match” between the surface of the support and formed intermediates both determine the structure of intermediates and the final MoS$_2$ dispersion.

CONCLUSIONS

This study showed that the support influences the sulfidation of molybdenum oxide supported catalysts with respect to structural intermediates formed and the final dispersion of the MoS$_2$ slabs. A combination of initial dispersion of molybdenum oxide particles, influenced by the support and mode of preparation, and the “match” between the surface of the support and intermediates both determine the particle sizes of the molybdenum sulfide intermediates and the final MoS$_2$ slabs.

This study enabled us to describe the structure of the intermediates during sulfidation. Both on alumina and titania all intermediates are anchored to the support via M o–O bondings of 2.0 Å. Initially, alumina oxysulfides are formed that are readily reduced to molybdenum sulfide monomers and dimers containing disulfide ligands. A bove 448 K the disulfide ligands are reduced with hydrogen to yield molybdenum sulfide dimers with a Mo–Mo distance of 2.77 Å. A bove 523 K these dimers aggregate to MoS$_2$ particles. Titania molybdenum oxide particles are sulfided to yield molybdenum sulfide monomers at 523 K, which also aggregate to MoS$_2$ at higher sulfidation temperatures.

The addition of Co to Mo/alumina has no effect on the kind of intermediates formed during sulfidation. However, the rate of the sulfidation as indicated by the TPS profile is increased. On titania the promotion of Mo with Co has a pronounced effect. The rate of sulfidation is considerably lower, due to the formation of CoMoO$_4$ during preparation, that is less susceptible to sulfidation.
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